





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,668	7590	09/20/2000 07/31/2003	Patrick Cohen	065691/ 0201	1 0 22226
FOLEY A	•	DNER	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K ST	REET NW		QUAN, ELIZABETH S		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1743	
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		A			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	9			
	09/646,668		COHEN ET AL.	/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<i>I</i>			
	Elizabeth Quan		1743				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cov r sh	e t with the co	orrespond nc ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	May 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4) Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the application	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideratio	n.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 No	-	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	, ,			

. ()	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	09/646,668	COHEN ET AL.					
Examiner initiated interview duminar	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elizabeth Quan	1743					
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·					
(1) Elizabeth Quan.	(3) <i>Rachel</i> .						
(2) <u>Sean Passino</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview:	Time:						
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:							
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed:							
Claims discussed: 19,20,23,29,34-36  Prior art documents discussed:							
Part II.							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>							
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)					

Applicati n No. 09/646,668

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed some amendments and asked for an explanation of the invention. Examiner asked for support on each cavity holding a volume on the order of 10-30 nanoliters, and Attorney said it was inadvertently added into the independent claims. Examiner asked what the laser carpet was, and Attorney said he needed to consult his client. Attorney explained that the suckers provide suction.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,668

Art Unit: 1743

## DETAILED ACTION

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because FIG. 1 does not depict the elements of the invention in sufficient detail as to provide an understanding of the interrelationship of elements.
A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 19-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Referring to claim 19, neither the specification nor claims provide support for each cavity of the removable plate for samples holds a volume on the order of ten to thirty nanoliters. Referring to claim 20, the specification appears to provide support for a stepper or DC motor advancing the removable plate for samples but not the piezoelectric micropipette.
- 3. Claims 23, 29, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains,

Application/Control Number: 09/646,668

Art Unit: 1743

or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Referring to claims 23 and 35, the specification does not explain what a laser carpet is. The term is uncommon, and the meaning is not given in the claims. Referring to claim 29, the specification does not explain what the suckers are and what they do. The term is uncommon, and the meaning is not given in the claims.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Referring to claim 23, the terms "so that an order" render the claim indefinite. It is not known what they mean in the context of the claim.

## Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1743

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Quan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1947. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elizabeth Quan Examiner Art Unit 1743

eq July 28, 2003

//Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700